

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/940,755	08/28/2001	Nikhil M. Deshpande	884.493US1	5778	
21186 7590 0625/2009 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			CHANKONG, DOHM		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/25/2009	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte: NIKHIL DESHPANDE

Appeal 2008-005174 Application No. 09/940,755 Technology Center 2400

Mailed: June 25, 2008

Before DALE M. SHAW Chief Appeals Administrator.

#### ORDER REMANDING APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on August 3, 2006. A Docketing Notice was mailed and Appeal No. 2008-005174 was assigned on August 15, 2008. A review of the application has revealed that the application was not ready for an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being remanded to the Examiner. The matter requiring attention is identified below.

Application 09/940,755 Appeal 2008-005174

Claims 1-6 of the instant application is set forth as a method claim that may not fall with one of the four statutory categories of invention recited in 35 U.S.C. § 101. On May 15, 2008, the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examining Policy, John J. Love, issued a memorandum entitled "Clarification of "Processes" under 35 U.S.C. § 101." This memorandum is further used in conjunction with the Interim Guidelines and the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure § 2106.IV.B, when determining whether a claimed invention falls within a statutory category of invention. *See In re Bilski*, No. 2007-1130, \_\_\_\_ F.3d \_\_\_\_, 2008 WL 4757110 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 30, 2008) (en banc). Thus, there is a question as to whether claims 1-6 meets the requirements of being a patent eligible process under 35 U.S.C. § 101.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is remanded to the Examiner to determine if claims 1-6 meets the requirements of being a patent eligible process under 35 U.S.C. § 101.

If there are any questions pertaining to this order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

DMS/tsj

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS. MN 55402